Subject: Deschutes

Status: Completed

Percent Complete:

Total Work: 0
Actual Work: 0

Owner: Hodgkiss, Miranda

- -make a project management board for Deschutes (include overlapping timeline for Budd Inlet)
- -read ECY responses to CLIPA
- -look up listing basis for Deschutes waterbodies (esp. ones with no data in Amy's spreadsheets) --> what is Capitol Lake listed for?
- -check with Laurie where Col. TMDL webpage is
- -fix Deschutes consistency in HQ spreadsheet

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

-find out: what is lake listed for?

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

-Timeline:

-figure out who will do final internal reviews of draft (R10, HQ, ECY?)

**When should we share technical approach with Ecology?

Technical questions:

• Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

- We don't have turbidity data, but we have limited TSS data
 - Check in municipal SW work, USGS gages, graduate student paper, Derek Booth (summarized numerous sediment turbidity rating curves)
- The waters aren't listed for turbidity
 - When we run existing sediment budget and/or RSULE, we will generate sediment in tons/yr.
 - Chance that LC for fine sediment could cause exceedance of turbidity criteria
 - May think about developing loading for turbidity??
 - Also need to think about what to do for WLAs needs to be easily implemented
 - Primary source of turbidity is non-volatile solids or mineral sediment

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

- Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)
- What does WA do for sediment TMDLs?
- When will Ecology be ready to share their Budd Inlet model outputs with us?
- Look at Clarks Creek TMDL (ask Jenny Wu) to see an example where the TMDL was written in terms of DO deficit
 Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)
- Does state have any translators/ratios between fecal coliform and enterococci?
 - We don't have data for enterococci
 - Fecal to e. coli is well established at 0.6 may be able to pull data from nearby waters and do a regression

Policy questions:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)